

Survey Results (Included Responses)

Go to Individual Complete Responses:

Show respondent's emails.

INCLUDED RESPONSES

EXCLUDED RESPONSES

Included Respondents: 28

Excluded Respondents: 0

- [Cross Tabulate](#)
Cross reference multiple questions
- [Download Results](#)
Receive results in spreadsheet format
- [View Recipient Status](#)
Check the response status of each of your recipients



NACAA State Association Officers Survey

Report created on: Mar 20 2006 9:39AM

The results of your survey are displayed below. If your survey includes text responses, click the "View" button to read individual results. To exclude a particular response, click the Included Responses button. You can then view the set of individual responses that are currently included and select those you wish to exclude. Results below contain only included responses

EXCLUDE BLANK RESPONSES

Launch Date	Dec 16 2005 9:41AM
Modified Date	
Close Date	Jan 20 2006 8:48AM
Email Invites	49
Visits	30 (61%)
Partials	0 (0%)
Completes	28 (57%)

Responses: Completes only Partials only Completes & Partials

Please respond to the following statements related to state membership issues, indicating the 1. level you agree or disagree with each:

The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option

	1 Strongly Agree	2 Agree	3 Undecided	4 Disagree	5 Strongly Disagree	Don't know
1. NACAA membership is attractive to newly hired employees	0% 0	43% 12	21% 6	36% 10	0% 0	0% 0
2. Our state association is having difficulty attracting new members	11% 3	61% 17	4% 1	25% 7	0% 0	0% 0
3. Our state association is having difficulty retaining members	4% 1	25% 7	14% 4	54% 15	4% 1	0% 0
4. NACAA is relevant to new employees	11% 3	57% 16	14% 4	18% 5	0% 0	0% 0
5. NACAA is relevant to early career (5 years or less) workers	18% 5	50% 14	18% 5	14% 4	0% 0	0% 0
6. NACAA is relevant to mid career workers	22% 6	70% 19	4% 1	4% 1	0% 0	0% 0
7. NACAA is relevant to late career workers	26% 7	59% 16	7% 2	7% 2	0% 0	0% 0

8. The potential pool of members is shrinking as the number of employees in my state is declining	39%	36%	0%	21%	4%	0%
	11	10	0	6	1	0

Please respond to the following statements on the NACAA committee structure, indicating the **2.** level at which you agree or disagree with each:

The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option



	1 Strongly Agree	2 Agree	3 Undecided	4 Disagree	5 Strongly Disagree	Don't know
1. The NACAA council and committee structure functions well	7% 2	50% 14	29% 8	11% 3	4% 1	0% 0
2. The NACAA committee structure is complex and confusing to members	14% 4	61% 17	11% 3	14% 4	0% 0	0% 0
3. The NACAA council and committee structure is effective in meeting the needs of members on a state level	4% 1	39% 11	25% 7	29% 8	4% 1	0% 0
4. Communications between national chairs/regional vice chairs and state committee chairs needs to be improved	25% 7	39% 11	25% 7	7% 2	0% 0	4% 1
5. The national committees are providing useful programming at the Annual Meeting/Professional Improvement Conference (AM/PIC)	11% 3	75% 21	7% 2	4% 1	4% 1	0% 0
6. The national committees should be developing educational programs throughout the calendar year, not just at the AM/PIC, such as workshops, web-based educational materials, study tours, etc.	7% 2	64% 18	18% 5	7% 2	4% 1	0% 0

Please respond to the following additional statements on the NACAA committee structure, **3.** indicating the level at which you agree or disagree with each:

The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option

	1 Strongly Agree	2 Agree	3 Undecided	4 Disagree	5 Strongly Disagree	Don't know
1. The number of national committees should be reduced	4% 1	32% 9	43% 12	14% 4	0% 0	7% 2
2. Committees with similar or related disciplines should be combined	11% 3	75% 21	11% 3	4% 1	0% 0	0% 0
3. The number of national committees should be expanded	0% 0	4% 1	32% 9	54% 15	7% 2	4% 1
4. Committees covering more than one discipline should be divided to form more focused activities	4% 1	18% 5	39% 11	36% 10	0% 0	4% 1
5. Our state association is easily able to fill all of the state chair positions	7% 2	29% 8	0% 0	43% 12	21% 6	0% 0

Is NACAA adequately meeting the needs of state chapters and **4.** officers?

	Number of Responses	Response Ratio
Yes 	20	80%
No 	5	20%
Total	25	100%

[VIEW](#) 7 Responses

Does the name "National Association of County Agricultural Agents" accurately reflect the makeup of our current and potential

Number of Response

5. membership?



	Responses	Ratio
Yes	18	64%
No	10	36%
Total	28	100%

Would your state association be willing to entertain the idea of a new
6. name for the national association?



	Number of Responses	Response Ratio
Yes	15	54%
No	13	46%
Total	28	100%

If you answered "yes" to question 6, please provide no more than three suggested names in
7. the space below.

[VIEW](#) 13 Responses

NACAA State Association Officers Survey – Open Ended Question Responses

4. Is NACAA adequately meeting the needs of state chapters and officers?

#	Response
1	Reducing the number of committees and increasing the communication of committees from top down and vice versa will help improve association operations
2	The National and Regional meetings needs to be improved by informing agents about their schedule, which should have a major focus on educational and professional improvement to help us in our work. Agents should have the opportunity to do presentations on their program which will help to improve their dossier for tenure and promotion. No one from our state has attended any of these meetings for many years, probably because these meetings were unattractive to them. At this time, most of us would rather spend our money attending national commodity meetings (Far West Show, TPIE-Tropical Plant Industry Exposition in Florida, CAPCA in California, etc.), which we find to be more educational. Furthermore, we weren't notified on the Western Region meeting which some of us would have liked to attend.
3	Not sure - new in leadership position
4	Information needs to be passed to state chairs earlier in the year.
5	For the most part. The communication between national committees and states need to happen more often
6	Communication breakdown. It is difficult to get things changed electronically specifically national membership.
7	Rotate the dates of the AM/PIC so that members from Iowa can attend. Currently the AM/PIC conflicts with many county fairs.

7. If you answered "yes" to question 6, please provide no more than three suggested names in the space below.

#	Response
1	Nat'l Agricultural Extension Educator Assoc. US Agricultural Extension Educator Assoc.
2	National Association of Agricultural and Environmental Educators National Association of Agricultural and Environmental Agents
3	The question is not whether or not we would entertain the idea of a new name but whether or not we would loose National IDENTITY. In that vein, I would propose that we come up with a C word to replace County such as Collective thereby retaining the NACAA nationally recognized status.
4	National Association of Extension Agriculture Agents
5	Educators instead of Agents
6	National Association of Agricultural Educators National Association of Agricultural Extension Educators
7	National Association of Extension Educators National Association of Extension Professionals
8	National Association of Extension Agricultural Professionals
9	National Association of Agricultural Extension Professionals
10	National Association of County Extension Professionals
11	Professional Ag Educators
12	National Association of Agriculture Educators
13	National Association of Agricultural Educators