

JOURNAL OF THE NACAA

ISSN 2158-9429

VOLUME 18, ISSUE 1 - JUNE, 2025

Editor: Linda Chalker-Scott

Bruton, E.¹, Vitale , P.², Vitale, J.³

 ¹County Director/Ag and 4-H Extension Agent, New Mexico State University Cooperative Extension Service, Socorro, New Mexico, 87801
²Extension Economist, New Mexico State University Extension Economics, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 88001
³Associate Professor Agricultural Economics, Oklahoma State University Department of Agricultural Economics, Stillwater, Oklahoma, 74078

Guiding Future Extension Direction Using Historical Agriculture Census Data

Abstract

This article focuses on how county agent could better identify future agricultural extension directions and priorities for their county using the agriculture census data. We provide a method to develop net farm income statements from raw census data so county agents may better determine how farms outlay expenditures and generate income. Using Socorro County data from 2002-2022, we found that reducing seeding rate, hired labor, and custom work production expenses would increase net cash farm income. Efforts to better select government payments and related farm support programs would also increase net income. This finding may be utilized by all county agents throughout the United States.

Keywords: county census data, county agriculture Extension, net farm cash income, total farm production expenses, total farm production income

Introduction

The USDA Census of Agriculture (AgCensus) data, provided quinquennially by the USDA, contains some of the most detailed reports of farm production costs and income currently available. Income and cost data are available at the county level, unlike their annual state and national reports (USDA, 2024). Some state agencies, such as the New Mexico Department of Agriculture (NMDA, 2023), report agricultural data annually, but they typically do not include farm income statements for each county (NMDA, 2023). Most states create enterprise budgets, but unlike census data, budgets focus on specific crops and do not provide overall county income statements that reflect performance among all crops and livestock enterprises in the county.

Because of ongoing changes in farm technology, including emerging artificial intelligence (AI), as well as unpredictable climate conditions, including severe drought (NDMC, 2024), it is a challenge for county agriculture agents in New Mexico to assist producers in structuring their farms for the future. County agriculture agents may thus determine how farm structure has changed by comparing Census findings across current and past surveys. The AgCensus data can fill voids in county data and is potentially valuable for understanding how farms generate income and allocate expenses in their operations.

The primary objective of this article is to use the farm income and production expenses statements of the AgCensus data to identify future extension activities and planning for county agriculture agents by analyzing raw AgCensus data from the past five surveys (USDA, 2022, 2017, 2012, 2007, and 2002). We present county income statements over the past 20 years and provide implications for guiding future extension direction and priorities for the next five years in Socorro County, New Mexico (NM). We chose Socorro County, NM, for the income statement because its net cash income trend is representative of the overall state, which has steadily increased over the past 20 years, showing positive net cash farm income. Studying Socorro will provide valuable guidance to other counties in New Mexico, many of which have unfortunately experienced negative net farm cash income. The results will provide valuable insight for future

extension and policy direction for stakeholders. This approach also applies to other counties in the United States using their state's AgCensus data.

Methods and Materials

USDA cash income statements for counties, as used in their AgCensus, differ from the net farm income defined by traditional farm management sources (Kay et al., 2002). Textbooks define net farm income as revenue less expenses, adjusting for accrual accounts, e.g. prepaid expenses, changes in inventories, investments in growing crops, etc. The net cash farm income of operations used by AgCensus includes only cash related income and expenses:

Net cash farm income of operations = Total farm production income - Total farm producion expenses

In this paper, we obtain income and expenses as follows:

<u>Total farm production income</u>: The sum of the market value of agriculture products sold, government payments, and total income from farm-related sources as reported in Table 1 of the AgCensus (Appendix A1). Total farm production income is not directly presented in the raw census data but can be calculated by summing the market value of agriculture products sold, government payments, and total income from farm-related sources.

<u>Total farm production expenses</u>: The sum of fertilizers, chemicals, seeds, livestock purchased and leased, feeds, gasoline, utilities, repairs, hired farm labor, contract labor, custom work, cash rent for land, building, rent for machinery, interest, property taxes, and all other production expenses including medical supplies as reported in Table 3 of the AgCensus (Appendix A2).

The difference between these two values is the <u>net cash farm income of operations</u>, an example of which is shown in Table 4 of the AgCensus (Appendix 3). In addition to

these, a detailed definition of each expense item can be found in Appendix B of the 2022 USDA Census (USDA 2024, pp. B-18-19).

The census also reports the depreciation cost of farm machinery and buildings, reflecting the annual loss in fair market value due to age, wear, and obsolescence from farm use throughout its service life as reported in Table 3 of the AgCensus (Appendix 4). Depreciation expenses are not cash outlays and are not included in total farm production expenses in the census data. Instead, these costs are considered ownership expenses, representing fixed costs to the farm operation.

We organized AgCensus data from the past five surveys, beginning in 2002, in an Excel spreadsheet to generate <u>Net Cash Farm Income of Operations</u> statements for each year and compare them across years. Next, we calculated net cash farm income of an average farm by dividing total net cash farm income by the number of farms. The averages were then weighted to assess the variation in production costs across items. This analysis enabled us to identify trends and provide insight into future extension direction. The Excel spreadsheet used in this analysis is available for extension agents and other stakeholders (MS Office, 2023).

In addition to descriptive analysis, we conducted a simple linear trend analysis using AgCensus data from 2002 to 2022 to examine changes in net cash farm income over time. This method helped illustrate general patterns in income across census years without requiring complex statistical modeling. The time trend analysis supports the interpretation of long-term changes relevant to the county extension direction.

Socorro County in New Mexico

Socorro County, located in Southwest New Mexico, is one of 33 counties in the region. The County's primary agriculture income comes from livestock, which accounts for 84-89% of its revenue, with crops such as alfalfa, grain hays, and chiles contributing 11-16% (USDA, 2024). In 2021, Socorro County received 8.61 inches of rainfall, with irrigation from the Middle Rio Grande providing substantial additional water (NMDA, 2023). The total income farm-related sources are custom work, gross cash rent,

tourism, insurance, and government program payment. Recently, the county has encountered challenges due to labor and water shortages.

Results

Socorro County's net cash income has notably increased over the past 20 years, rising from \$6,660 in 2002 to \$41,836 in 2022, with particularly strong gains after 2017 (Table 1). This growth contrasts with periods of decline, such as between 2007 and 2012, when net cash income decreased modestly from \$8,488 to \$7,714. In contrast, Sandoval County has consistently reported negative net cash income, worsening from – \$3,326 in 2002 to –\$5,530 in 2022, unlike Socorro County' stable, positive, and upward trend (Table 1). Luna County shows more variability, starting at a high of \$53,908 in 2002, dropping sharply to \$6,265 in 2007, recovering to \$49,327 in 2012, and then surging to \$215,200 by 2022. While Luna County in New Mexico had positive income and growth in 2022, its growth in 2012 was lower than that of Socorro and slightly higher in 2002. This variability makes it challenging to predict future trends beyond 2022.

(\$1,000)	2002	2007	2012	2017	2022
Luna	53,908	6,265	49,327	56,399	215,200
Sandoval	-3,326	-799	-1,100	-513	-5,530
Socorro	6,660	8,488	7,714	11,067	41,836
NM State	19,373	17,558	9,501	13,785	31,357
US National	19,032	33,827	43,750	43,053	79,790

Table 1. Examples of county net cash farm income in New Mexico, 2002-2022.

Data source: USDA census data 2002,2007,2012, 2017, and 2022.

The variability in income across the three counties can be explained by local differences. Sandavol county is considerably more urban than Socorro County and experiences more urbanization. Socorro and Luna Counties are similar in crop and livestock production, with Luna having higher production rates. The variability in Luna

County income is related to changes in water availability and investment in more efficient irrigation infrastructure over the last 20 years. Table 1 also includes average net cash farm income for New Mexico State and the U.S. national level. Socorro County's income generally follows the trend of the state average, while national income has increased more rapidly than both the state and Socorro over the past 20 years.

Table 2 presents the net cash farm income statement for Socorro County, NM, based on agricultural census data. Over the past 20 years, total farm production income has fluctuated. From 2002 to 2012, total farm production income more than doubled, increasing from \$37.6 million to \$80.2 million, but it declined steadily thereafter, falling to \$63.4 million by 2022. Total income has been significantly influenced by the number of farms, which peaked at 704 farms in 2012 but decreased to 453 by 2022. Among the three major income components, government payments have consistently increased, rising from \$697,000 in 2002 to \$3.1 million in 2022, and have been a primary driver of income growth. The market value of agricultural products sold reached a high of \$77.2 million in 2012 before declining to \$57.9 million in 2022, while farm-related income grew from \$1.1 million in 2002 to \$2.4 million in 2022.

Total farm production expenses have fluctuated similarly, rising from \$34.6 million in 2002 to a peak of \$74.8 million in 2012, then declining over the past two AgCensus reports to \$59.3 million in 2017 and \$44.4 million in 2022 (Table 2). Despite these changes in costs and the declining number of farms, net cash farm income has generally risen over the past 20 years, increasing from \$3.0 million in 2002 to \$19.0 million in 2022. However, the raw census data makes it challenging to assess the impact on any given average farm in Socorro County due to variations in farm numbers and the aggregate smoothing inherent in reported averages.

Item	2002	2007	2012	2017	2022
Mkt value of ag products sold	35 776	40 101	77 247	65 148	57 928
Government payments	697	284	1 539	265	3 105
Total inc farm-related source	1 141	1 152	1 430	1 219	2 354
Total farm prod income	37,614	41,537	80.216	66,632	63,387
	01,011	,	00,210	00,002	
Fertilizers	283	617	1,330	1,226	1,108
Chemicals	127	208	386	309	333
Seeds	145	324	803	611	738
Livestock purchased/leased	2,516	2,798	4,385	1,743	2,432
Feeds	13,869	12,067	35,577	28,016	13,127
Gasoline	1,184	2,617	3,751	3,041	3,276
Utilities	1,003	1,278	2,092	1,786	1,715
Repairs	2,627	3,095	4,293	3,781	3,767
Hired farm labor	4,570	4,379	7,912	8,073	7,107
Contract labor	391	493	1,660	865	824
Custom work	797	664	780	1,641	1,197
Cash rent for land, building	1,040	1,616	2,550	1,589	1,651
Rent for machinery	64	241	334	288	221
Interest	2,649	2,727	2,545	1,351	1,861
Property taxes	666	847	1,226	1,259	1,292
Medical supplies	0	0		1,313	1,246
All other production expenses	2,696	3,015	5,162	2,458	2,541
Total farm prod expenses	34,627	36,986	74,785	59,349	44,436
Net cash farm income	2,987	4,551	5,431	7,283	18,951
Depreciation	3,403	3,518	6,558	5,345	3,378
Number of farms	389	536	704	658	453

Table 2. Net cash farm income statement of Socorro County NM, 2002-2022 (\$1,000).

Data Source: Agricultural census data, 2002,2007,2012, 2017, and 2022, USDA NASS

To examine the trend of farm net cash income for an average farm in Socorro, we recalculated income and expenses by dividing the total number of farms from Table 2 and generated the results shown in Table 3. While this average does not represent any specific farm, it provides insight into how farms close to the average income and costs have performed over the past 20 years. Table 3 shows that the average farm total income has increased over the past 20 years. Notably, total farm production income

from farm-related sources has increased substantially from \$101,264 to \$139,927 between 2017 and 2022. The recent trend suggests that the average farm in Socorro County earns income not only from core farm operations, but also from farm-related services such as custom work.

	2002	2007	2012	2017	2022
Mkt value of ag products sold	91,969	74,815	109,726	99,009	127,876
Government payments	769	530	2,186	403	6,854
Total Income farm-related source	2,933	2,149	2,031	1,853	5,196
Total farm production income	95,671	77,494	113,943	101,264	139,927
Fertilizers	728	1,151	1,889	1,863	2,446
Chemicals	326	388	548	470	735
Seeds	373	604	1,141	929	1,629
Livestock purchased and leased	6,468	5,220	6,229	2,649	5,369
Feeds	35,653	22,513	50,536	42,578	28,978
Gasoline	3,044	4,882	5,328	4,622	7,232
Utilities	2,578	2,384	2,972	2,714	3,786
Repairs	6,753	5,774	6,098	5,746	8,316
Hired farm labor	11,748	8,170	11,239	12,269	15,689
Contract labor	1,005	920	2,358	1,315	1,819
Custom work	2,049	1,239	1,108	2,494	2,642
Cash rent for land, building	2,674	3,015	3,622	2,415	3,645
Rent for machinery	165	450	474	438	488
Interest	6,810	5,088	3,615	2,052	4,108
Property taxes	1,707	1,580	1,741	1,913	2,852
Medical supplies	-	-	-	1,995	2,751
All other production expenses	6,932	5,622	7,332	3,735	5,609
Total farm production expenses	89,011	69,007	106,229	90,196	98,091
Net cash farm income	6,660	8,487	7,714	11,068	41,834
Depreciation	8,748	8,123	9,315	6,563	8,771

Table 3. Net cash farm income of an average farm in Socorro County, NM (Dollars).

Data source: Authors recalculated using agricultural census data.

In terms of expenses, an average farm has faced rising costs for fertilizer, chemicals, and seeds due to high inflation post-COVID-19. Specifically, seed costs have increased fourfold, from \$374 to \$1,629 over the past 20 years, highlighting the need for extension agents to focus on optimal seeding strategies to reduce costs (Table 3). Feed expenses, the largest expense item, have decreased from \$35,745 to \$28,978, though they have fluctuated over the years. Livestock expenses have followed a similar trend to feed expenses. Gasoline, utility, and taxes have risen significantly due to the recent high inflation. Additionally, there is a noticeable trend that a Socorro farm has spent more on farm labor, contract labor, custom work, and cash rent for machinery and land over the past 20 years. Hired farm labor expenses, in particular, have risen markedly, making it the second-largest component of total farm expenses. Depreciation costs have seen modest increases but have fluctuated, peaking in 2012 and then both fell and rose from 2017 to 2022. The trend indicates that farms have increasingly relied on hired labor and custom work over the past two decades.

To assess how the relative importance of expense items changed, monetary units were removed based on Table 3. We recalculated Table 3 data by making percentages for each total income and expense item. We normalized total income and total farm production expenses to 100% and calculated the proportion of each component to examine how income and cost items have shifted over time. In Table 4, the government payments accounted for 5% of total income in 2022, increasing from its original 2% in 2002. For production expenses, as shown in Table 3, seed expenses have made the largest increase, beginning from nearly 0% in 2002 to 2% by 2022, suggesting that farms should search for new seeding strategies. Livestock purchases have been one of the lower components over the years but recently have been on the rise. Feed expenses were the largest portion of total expenses. Gasoline and utilities have almost doubled.

Hired farm labor is the second largest expense and has increased over time, rising from 13% to 16% over the past 20 years. Custom work expenses have increased from 2 to 3% of the total. Repair expenses have remained relatively constant, while depreciation, which is not included in the total, has increased. These suggest that investments in

machinery have improved steadily. Socorro farms have seen rising expenses for owning machinery, as well as for hired farm labor and custom work. This is somewhat unexpected, as the traditional view suggests that owning more machinery should be able to reduce the need for hired labor and custom work. However, the data shows that, in Socorro, the average farm has spent more on hired labor and custom work as the weight of depreciation expense has increased.

	2002	2007	2012	2017	2022
Mkt value of ag products sold	95%	97%	96%	98%	91%
Government payments	2%	1%	2%	0%	5%
Total inc farm-related source	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Total income	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	4.0/	20/	00/	00/	00/
Chamian	1 %0	Z %	Z%	∠% 10/	2% 10/
	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Seeds	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Livestock purch. and leased	7%	8%	6%	3%	5%
Feeds	40%	33%	48%	47%	30%
Gasoline	3%	7%	5%	5%	7%
Utilities	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Repairs	8%	8%	6%	6%	8%
Hired farm labor	13%	12%	11%	14%	16%
Contract labor	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Custom work	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%
Cash rent for land, building	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%
Rent for machinery	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Interest	8%	7%	3%	2%	4%
Property taxes	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
Medical supplies	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%
All other production expenses	8%	8%	7%	4%	6%
Total farm production expenses	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Net cash farm income over					
total income	8%	11%	7%	11%	30%
Depreciation over total farm					
production expenses	8%	9%	9%	10%	10%

Table 4. Weight of items of net cash farm income in Socorro County, NM, 2002-2022.

Data Source: Authors recalculated using agricultural census data.

Long-term trends in net cash farm income in county, state, and national levels (2002-2022)

A linear trend analysis was conducted to evaluate changes in net cash farm income over time (Figure 1). In Socorro County, income steadily increased from 2002 to 2022, with the most significant rise occurring between 2017 and 2022 (slope = \$1,458; R² = 0.59). This trend closely followed that of the New Mexico state average, which showed a modest increase (slope = \$403.90; R² = 0.15). In contrast, U.S. national income rose more sharply and consistently over the same period (slope = \$2,614; R² = 0.85) (Table 1). These findings indicate that income growth varied across geographic levels and support the use of localized data for county-level extension direction.



Figure 1. Trends in long-term net cash farm income: Socorro County, New Mexico, and the United States (2002–2022).

Discussion

A key limitation of this study is that AgCensus data are collected through voluntary reporting, which can result in underreporting or inconsistencies, particularly in counties with lower response rates or a high proportion of small-scale, niche, or underrepresented operations. While the AgCensus is a valuable and comprehensive dataset, it may not fully capture informal production, specialty crops, or non-commercial agricultural activities. We acknowledge that AgCensus data, while highly useful for extension planning and priority setting, may not fully reflect the complexity and diversity of agricultural practices in every county. County agents are expected to be able to assess these data gaps, though future work should consider supplementing AgCensus data with local surveys, extension records, or producer focus groups to improve context and data completeness.

Conclusions and Implications for Future Directions in the County

This article introduces a spreadsheet-based method that county extension agencies can use to analyze AgCensus data, identify trends in net cash farm income, and guide extension priorities and planning. This approach is anticipated to be valuable because raw AgCensus data are often underutilized at the local level.

We applied the method to Socorro County, where net cash farm income has increased over the past 20 years. Total income was highest when government payments and related income sources played a major role, suggesting that Extension agents should help farms align with available government programs while also exploring alternative income sources. On the expense side, feed has consistently been the largest cost component, though it has fluctuated over time. Extension agents should closely monitor feed trends and help farms prepare for future changes. Notably, seed costs have risen sharply, underscoring the need to promote cost-saving strategies such as seed-saving where appropriate. Socorro farms have also become more mechanized, as reflected in rising depreciation costs, alongside increased use of hired labor and custom work. This points to an opportunity for extension to help farms use their own machinery more efficiently to reduce reliance on external labor and services.

Importantly, this method can be applied by any county Extension agent using local historical census data to guide strategic planning. However, it is critical to acknowledge that census data are based on voluntary reporting and may not fully represent all producers or farm types. Future research should supplement census data with local surveys or producer input to improve accuracy. We also plan to expand this analysis to include counties with negative net cash income trends, providing a broader understanding of the diverse challenges facing county Extension programs nationwide.

Literature Cited

Cooperative Extension Service, New Mexico State University. 2023. *Crop and Livestock Costs and Returns* 2013-2023, accessed on March 10, 2025. <u>https://costsandreturns.nmsu.edu.</u>

Kay, R., W. Edward, and P. Duffy. 2020. *Farm Management,* 9th Edition, pp. 87-89, McGraw Hill.

Microsoft Office. 2023. *Microsoft Office/Excel 2023 Home & Business Version*, accessed on March 10, 2025. <u>https://www.microsoft365.com/?auth=2</u>

NDMC (National Drought Mitigation Center). 2024. *New Mexico*, University of Nebraska-Lincoln. Accessed on March 10, 2025. <u>https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/CurrentMap/StateDroughtMonitor.aspx?NM</u>

NMDA (New Mexico Department of Agriculture). 2023. *New Mexico Agricultural Statistics*. Accessed on March 10, 2025, <u>https://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/New_Mexico/Publications/Annual_Statistical_Bulletin/2023-2024/2023-2024-NM-Ag-Statistics.pdf</u>

USDA. 2024. 2022 *Census of Agriculture, State and County Data*, Volume 1. Geographic Area Series, AC-22-A-31, February 2024. Accessed on March 05, 2024. <u>https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2022/Full_Report/Volume_1,_Chapter_2_County_Level/New_Mexico/nmv1.pdf</u>

USDA. 2024. *New Mexico Crop Progress Weekly*, June 24. Accessed on June 30. 2024.

https://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/New_Mexico/Publications/Crop_Progress_s_&_Condition/index.phpUSDA. 2019. 2017 Census of Agriculture, New Mexico State

and County Data, Volume 1. Geographic Area Series, Part 31 AC-17-A-31, April 2019. Accessed on March 10, 2024.

https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2017/Full_Report/Volume_1, Chapt er 2 County Level/New Mexico/nmv1.pdf

USDA. 2014. 2012 *Census of Agriculture, New Mexico State and County Data*, Volume 1. Geographic Area Series, Part 31 AC-12-A-31, May 2014 Accessed on March 10, 2024. <u>https://agcensus.library.cornell.edu/wp-content/uploads/2012-New Mexico-nmv1-1.pdf</u>

USDA. 2009. 2007 *Census of Agriculture, New Mexico State and County Data*, Volume 1. Geographic Area Series, Part 31 AC-07-A-31, February 2009. Accessed on March 10, 2024. <u>https://agcensus.library.cornell.edu/wp-content/uploads/2007-New Mexico-nmv1.pdf</u>

USDA. 2004. 2002 *Census of Agriculture, New Mexico State and County Data*, Volume 1. Geographic Area Series, Part 31 AC-02-A-31, June 2009.Accessed on March 10, 2024 <u>https://agcensus.library.cornell.edu/wp-content/uploads/2002-New_Mexico-2002-01-31.pdf</u>.

APPENDICES

	I	Santa Fe	Sierra	Socorro	Taos	Tomance	Union	Valencia
arms	number	591	269	453	622	577	343	978
Average size of farm	acres	494,844 837	1,070,677	1,345,407	229,129 368	1,692,413	1,925,764 5,614	450,426
Median size of farm	acres	10	79	47	15	283	1,583	5
stimated market value of land and buildings:								
Average per farm	dollars	1,042,437	1,616,781 406	2,280,417	771,857	2,299,610	4,786,197	485,848 1,055
ctimated market value of all machines, and					-			
equipment	\$1,000	34,650	22,597	31,908	29,558	42,896	46,490	55,276
Average per farm	dollars	58,630	84,003	70,438	47,522	74,343	135,541	56,520
arms by size:		260			247	-		
1 to 9 acres		260	39	144	217	24 62	8	216
50 to 179 acres		86	70	72	86	145	47	69
500 to 999 acres		13	14	16	10	74	47	13
1,000 acres or more		45	59	97	31	156	194	18
otal cropland	farms	326	151	247	544	143	102	739
Harvested cropland	farms	13,512	35,338	58,756	16,714 481	49,509	57,516	13,463
	acres	10,047	6,203	11,717	8,231	10,880	(D)	10,678
migated land	farms	276	115	250	487	67	47	760
•	acres	6,742	5,196	10,212	8,977	8,293	17,184	11,488
farket value of agricultural products sold	\$1.000	18,489	21,338	57,928	7,732	45,619	86,429	33,264
Average per farm	dollars	31,284	79,325	127,877	12,431	79,062	251,981	34,012
Crops, including nursery and greenhouse crops	\$1,000	12,151	14,566	6,323	3,484	12,269	21,341	9,290
Livestock, poultry, and their products	\$1,000	6,338	6,773	51,606	4,248	33,350	65,088	23,973
arms by value of sales:								
Less man \$2,500 \$2,500 to \$4,999		320	108	156	368	306	138	583
\$5,000 to \$9,999		76	32	50	52	47	.7	92
\$25,000 to \$49,999		19	22	27	20	42	32	23
\$50,000 to \$99,999		13	16	34	23	41	46	21
		-	21		15			
Bovernment payments	farms \$1,000	32 432	2,789	3,105	70	149	153	31
otal income from farm-related sources	farms	94	60	100	97	110	152	165
	<u>a1.000</u>	1,964	3,342	2,354	433	4,494	5,601	1,632
otal farm production expenses	\$1,000	19,338	24,970	44,436	10,656	49,545	79,803	34,437
Average per larm	ounars	52,720	32,025	50,053	17,152	00,000	232,003	35,212
let cash farm income of the operations	farms \$1,000	591 1.547	269	453	622	577	343	978
Average per farm	dollars	2,617	9,293	41,836	-3,129	11,295	46,939	661
lvestock and poultry:								
Cattle and calves inventory	farms	186	130	192	223	362	220	354
Beef cows	farms	8,111	14,565	35,328	205	32,755	60,012	16,228
Milk source	number	(D)	9,102	(D)	3,188	(D)	(D)	(D)
Mik Cows	number	(D)	16	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)	(D)
Cattle and calves sold	number	132	99 6 661	18 577	2 542	259	201 54 672	187
Hogs and pigs inventory	farms	13	4	15	16	21	1	23
Hogs and pigs sold	farms	109	13	26	112	96	(D)	73
Observation investory	number	406	(D)	97	45	68	-	114
sheep and lambs inventory	number	744	17	732	660	4,112	(D)	987
Layers inventory	farms	124	37	49	1 513	80	13	169
Brollers and other meat-type chickens sold	farms	8	2	-	-	-		-,0
	number	156	(D)	-	-	-	-	-
elected crops harvested:					-	-		
oom of gran	acres	23	(D)	(D)	(D)	720	10,900	(D)
Com for slage or greenshop	bushels	1,800	(D)	(D)	(D)	192,852	2,109,880	(D)
com or stage or greenchop	acres	3,785	577	(D)	1	1,057	1,017	(D)
Wheat for grain, all	tons	106,554	13,613	(D)	:	30,162	25,828	(D)
	acres	(D)	360	-	-	-	2,913	31
Durum wheat for grain	bushels farms	(D)	(D)	1	:	1	133,863	(D)
-	acres	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other spring wheat for grain	farms	-	1	1	1	-	1	
	acres	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Winter wheat for grain	farms	3	7	-	1	-	8	3
	acres	(D)	360	-		-	2,913	31
	a damena	(0)	(0)	-	-	-	133,003	(0)
Oats for grain	acres	:	1	(D)	1	-	:	1 (D)
	bushels	-	-	(D)	-	-	-	(D)

TOTALI

Appendix 1. Components of total farm production income. Source data: 2022 USDA Census data, p. 237. <u>https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2022/Full_Report/Volume_1, Chapter_2_County_Level/New_Mexico/nmv1.pdf</u>

Item	, man,	Santa Fe	Sierra	Socorro	Taos	Torrance	Union	Valencia
Total farm production expenses	farms, 2022 2017 \$1,000, 2022 2017 dollare 2022	591 639 19,338 30,228	269 257 24,970 32,831	453 658 44,436 59,349	622 824 10,656 10,271	577 716 49,545 45,133	343 369 79,803 76,973	978 1,360 34,437 52,504
Codilions line and all an different	2017	47,305	127,748	90,197	12,464	63,035	208,600	38,606
purchased	farms, 2022	139	72	165	71	62	57	377
	2017	150	74	237	129	71	47	619
	2017	717	752	1,225	110	1,438	1,676	984
Chemicals purchased	farms, 2022	113	78	103	56	48	50	295
	\$1,000, 2022	399	619	333	25	495	1.020	426
	2017	693	1,361	309	16	471	922	677
Seeds, plants, vines, and trees purchased	farms, 2022 2017	220	74	110	142	81	42	289
	\$1,000, 2022	853	1,761	(38)	235	713	1,597	1,058
Cover crop seed purchased	2017	861	749	611	394	1,079	1,210	1,165
over crop sees parchases	2017	51	13	27	60	7	15	88
	\$1,000, 2022 2017	13 8	40 33	20 9	6 9	12	47 57	27 26
Livestock and poultry purchased or								
leased	farms, 2022	137	52	121	102	179	133	172
	2017	178	96	644	146	245	177	348
	2017	3,393	1,092	1,743	351	4,370	29,416	5,129
Breeding livestock purchased or	farme 2022	60						67
1000CU	2017	105	68	113	74	148	118	170
	\$1,000, 2022	349	274	1,314	206	2,101	3,472	663
Other livestock and poultry purchased or	2017	1,293	920	1,439	238	2,921	3,229	967
leased	farms, 2022	100	23	49	54	104	66	132
	2017 \$1,000,2022	117	52	1,119	81	2 232	21,599	238
	2017	2,099	172	305	113	1,450	26,187	4,162
Feed purchased	farms, 2022	415	185	298	343	460	259	608
	\$1,000, 2022	2,562	2,353	03,120	1,594	15,966	16,126	10,977
	2017	2,924	10,882	28,016	1,279	12,924	14,072	18,490
Gasoline, fuels, and oils purchased	farms, 2022	551	257	429	576	549	316	918
	2017	576	257	512	693	626	343	1,233
	\$1,000, 2022 2017	2,121	2,032	3,276	962	2,788	3,661	2,344
Utilities	farms, 2022	330	188	306	316	369	255	495
	2017	336	201	432	380	467	259	602
	2017	2,362	1,646	1,786	780	3,839	1,765	1,629
Repairs, supplies, and maintenance costs	farms, 2022	413	214	366	411	447	278	694
	\$1,000, 2022	2,121	2,862	3,767	1,459	3,695	4,996	2,484
I lies of descent labors	2017	3,049	2,801	3,781	1,531	3,383	3,556	4,172
Hired farm labor		160	84	146	176	112	96	228
	\$1,000, 2022	3,301	4,654	7,107	1,186	5,579	4,206	3,948
	2017	4,003	5,155	0,0/5	1,100	4,230	3,043	5,127
Contract labor	farms, 2022	66	41	69	52	54	78	46
	\$1,000, 2022	343	1,029	(824)	357	669	1,049	358
	2017	625	822	865	303	399	477	267
Customwork and custom hauling	farms, 2022 2017	54	44	109	57	59 76	59	196
	\$1,000, 2022	217	686	0,197	235	533	886	541
Cash rept for land, buildings	2017	447	337	1,641	210	792	703	1,338
and grazing fees	farms, 2022	53	77	109	79	186	172	75
	2017 \$1.000_2022	71 403	1 653	1 551	97	4 529	196	109
	2017	572	1,204	1,589	473	2,210	5,282	2,238
Rent and lease expenses for machinery, equipment, and farm share of vehicles	farme 2022	22	e .	45	40	40		30
equipment, and rand share of vehicles	2017	25	8	28	32	30	15	54
	\$1,000, 2022	80	123	221	12	108	204	72
		104		200		03	202	.07
Interest expense	farms, 2022	98	63	122	70	120	146	142
	\$1,000, 2022	1,359	1,054	1,851)	687	2,420	3,803	1,793
	2017	3,948	828	1,351	517	3,190	4,763	2,097
Secured by real estate	farms, 2022	74	38	95	48	91	98	119
	2017	81	69	80	82	140	101	169
	\$1,000, 2022	1,004	773	1,452	524	1,672	3,249	1,408
Not secured by real estate	farms, 2022	47	40	70	47	56	83	93
-	2017	52	37	62	66	118	96	130
	2017	355	280	247	163	830	1,802	1,042
Property taxes paid	farms, 2022	546	264	419	583	552	322	890
	2017 \$1,000, 2022	603 1.318	254	(1,292	787	697 1,485	340 1.508	1,181 2,052
	2017	1,822	991	1,259	1,097	1,447	1,011	2,017
See footnote(s) at end of table.								-continued

Table 3. Farm Production Expenses: 2022 and 2017 (continued)

P

258 New Mexico

2022 Census of Agriculture - County Data USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service

Appendix 2. Components of farm production expenses. Source data: 2022 USDA Census data, p. 258. https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2022/Full_Report/Volume_1,_Chapter_2_County_Lev el/New Mexico/nmv1.pdf

Item	Otero	Quay	Rio Arriba	Roosevelt	Sandoval	San Juan	San Miguel
Net cash farm income of the operations\$1,000, 2022	6,860	9.041	-3,096	97,387	-3,921	15,806	-755
2017	1,808	8.001	298	50,500	-517	-2,566	-2,285
Average per farmdollars, 2022	14,690	15.272	-2,410	152,613	-5,530	5,494	-973
2017	3,823	13,052	207	68,059	-513	-865	-1,955
Farms with net gains 1	148	243	404	314	233	907	179
	130	295	510	435	312	592	231
	85,574	78,175	29,745	346,152	14,984	38,948	41,445
	• 51,079	43,772	15,835	128,881	14,654	17,262	26,847
Farms with net losses	319	349	881	324	476	1,970	597
	343	318	929	307	695	2,373	930
	18,197	28,525	17,155	34,953	15,571	9,908	13,691
	14,088	15,446	8,372	18,121	7,322	5,388	9,042
Net cash farm income of producers\$1,000, 2022 2011 Average per farm	6,937 1,723 14,855 3,642	7,816 7,900 13,202 12,888	-3,070 328 -2,389 228	96,952 49,995 151,962 67,379	-3,933 -516 -5,547 -512	15,880 -2,485 5,520 -838	-92: -2,320 -1,190 -1,991
Producers reporting net gains ¹	148	243	404	310	233	910	17(
	130	292	512	434	312	598	23)
	86,095	74,173	29,751	349,363	14,916	38,824	40,50(
	50,421	43,912	15,813	128,253	14,665	17,199	26,67)
Producers reporting net lossesfarms, 2022	319	349	881	328	476	1,967	593
2011	343	321	927	308	695	2,367	933
Average per farmdollars, 2022	18,197	29,251	17,128	34,606	15,564	9,888	13,690
2011	14,088	15,333	8,380	18,398	7,326	5,395	9,043
Item	Santa Fe	Sierra	Socorro	Taos	Torrance	Union	Valencia
Net cash farm income of the operations\$1,000, 2022	1,547	2,500	18,951	-1,946	6,517	10,100	646
2017	-3,484	645	7,282	-1,822	4,593	12,953	-4,782
Average per farmdollars, 2022	2,617	9,293	41,836	-3,129	11,295	40,939	661
2017	-5,452	2,509	11,067	-2,211	6,415	35,103	-3,516
Farms with net gains ¹	150	92	150	184	172	164	260
	152	71	205	220	183	215	273
	43,396	91,531	175,145	16,755	89,813	146,257	37,420
	38,205	86,001	63,575	14,897	66,045	83,501	16,833
Farms with net losses	435	177	303	438	405	179	718
	487	186	453	604	533	154	1.087
	12,007	33,453	24,159	11,482	22,052	44,056	12,650
	18,454	29,362	12,698	8,442	14,058	32,465	8,627
Net cash farm income of producers \$1.000, 2022	1 640	2.522	18,914	-1,889	6,964	15,996	622
Average per farm	-3,776 2,790 -5,909	625 9,376 2,432	7,209 41,754 10,956	-1,813 -3,037 -2,200	3,949 12,069 5,515	12,042 46,634 32,633	636 -3,556
Average per farm	-3,776 2,790 -5,909 162 152 42,381 34,169	625 9,376 2,432 92 71 91,750 86,001	7,209 41,754 10,956 151 204 173,617 63,545	-1,813 -3,037 -2,200 184 220 16,945 14,923	3,949 12,069 5,515 172 183 92,376 61,443	12,042 46,634 32,633 167 214 144,107 79,654	-3,550 260 260 37,280 16,884

Table 4. Net Cash Farm Income of the Operations and Producers: 2022 and 2017 (continued)

Appendix 3. Net cash farm income of producers. Source data: 2022 USDA Census data, p. 237.

https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2022/Full Report/Volume 1, Chapter 2 County Lev el/New Mexico/nmv1.pdf

Table 3. Farm Production Expenses: 2022 and 2017 (continued)

Item	Santa Fe	Sierra	Socorro	Taos	Torrance	Union	Valencia
Total farm production expenses - Con.							
Medical supplies, veterinary, and custom				1405-10	0.000		
services for livestockfarms, 2022 2017	234 316	138 142	220 335	214 263	256 390	217 270	327 534
\$1,000, 2022 2017	357 659	227 456	1,246 1,313	246 200	979 845	2,615 3,043	742
All other production expenses	260	167	236	234	276	232	357
\$1,000, 2017 \$1,000, 2017	161 889 1,267	1,945 1,184	2,541 2,458	134 533 426	1,756 1,699	3,121 2,488	2,388 2,497
Production expenses paid by landlords 1 farms, 2022	15	9	4	7	19	14	12
\$1,000, 2022 2017	14 102 (D)	29 (D)	85 21	60 41	450 (D)	314 103	13 20 27
Depreciation expenses claimedfarms, 2022	278	159	274	311	225	207	476
\$1,000, 2022	3,085	3,186	3,378	2,905	4,499	7,270	5,020

¹ Landlord production expenses are included within total farm production expenses.

Appendix 4. Farm depreciation expenses.

Source data: 2022 USDA Census data, p. 260.

https://www.nass.usda.gov/Publications/AgCensus/2022/Full Report/Volume 1, Chapter 2 County Lev el/New Mexico/nmv1.pdf